# THENEED FOR THE ROADMAP

#### The situation

#### Issues

# Provisions of the HEP **Optimization Roadmap**

### Need for a structured guidance to an evolving HEP

- ✓ HEP undergone substantial changes
- Packages expanded
- Service delivery modalities evolved
- Clinical services increased
- Volume and types of inputs changed
- Adaptations taken without evidence-based guidance
  - Uneven/stalled implementation o Failure to address the real causes of the program's challenges
- evidence-based roadmap developed following a comprehensive assessment of the HEP
- o Presents clear recommendations on a more structured approach to guide the future of HEP.
- o Indicates a path for the coming 15 years

## Addressing socio-economic changes

- urban and semi-urban centers and increasing urbanization
- Education
- increased trained human resource health pool in the market: health professionals including officers, nurses, midwives, and HEWs can be hired directly from the market.
- increased penetration of telecommunication technologies
- introduction of new healthcare financingstrategies, including revenue retention and use and CBHI
- ✓ Migration patterns created small ✓ HEP didn't cope with the changing o The roadmap is prepared with needs and expectations of the society
  - Limited use of opportunities created : improved socio-economic: by conditions
- due consideration to projected socio-economic conditions
- continuous A mechanism for adaptation process in place

## Responding to epidemiological shifts and increasing community expectations

- ✓ The leading causes of morbidity and mortality in Ethiopia are still related to communicable, maternal, neonatal, and nutritional disorders
- However, there is increasing burden of NCDs and injuries
- The current service packages of HEP: are still relevant to the health needs : of societies but lack comprehensiveness
- ✓ Community expectations for more comprehensive PHC services from Health Posts
- Expansion not kept pace with the increasing burden of NCDs
- Added packages on NCDs and mental health didn't translate into actual provision of services
- dissatisfaction Increasing communities with unmet expectations and needs for clinical services
- Expanding HEP service packages is a major shift driving other recommendations of the roadmap
- Adequate plan to improve inputs and service delivery processes to materialize the plan for expansion of service packages

## Need for adaptations in response to Ethiopia's commitment to UHC and an expanded essential health service package

- Commitments to international
- declarations SDG 3 with a clear target on UHC
- ✓ The 2019 revision of Ethiopia's EHSP expanded the package of essential services
- ✓ Realizing the ambition of the revised
  ✓ Decentralization of more essential EHSP requires greater engagement of the HEP
- health services down to Health Posts (the most accessible part of the health system) to ensure universal access

# Addressing implementation challenges of the HEP

- ✓ The HEP has been deteriorating in terms of its performance even in the delivery of the original HEP packages.
- Several implementation challenges identified as causes of deteriorating performance; actions : were also designed to address observed challenges.
- ✓ Improvement actions were not able to address the root causes of performance gaps and challenges
- ✓ Actions largely focused on short term solutions targeting manifestations instead of root causes.
- ✓ HEP Optimization Roadmap informed by in-depth analysis of the root causes of performance gaps

## The need to adjust HEP service delivery modalities and service delivery points

- ✓ HEP has focused almost solely on married women in a typical rural setting.
- ✓ Increasing numbers of schools, youth centers, and institutions and workplaces presents both a burden and opportunities
- ✓ The HEP is not adequate to address different categories of target populations
- in different settings. ✓ HEP failed to take advantage of children, youth, and adults congregating in the ever-increasing numbers of schools and other institutions.
- HEP service delivery points expand to reach all segments of the population as close to where they live or work as possible
- The HEP needs to make changes to maximally use existing platforms and create additional service delivery platforms.

# How was the Roadmap Developed?

# **Situation Analysis:**

- ✓ The situation analysis used the WHO health system building blocks as an organizing framework
- ✓ 2019 national assessment of HEP was the primary source of evidence: ü most current and comprehensive source of information about the
- ✓ Other relevant sources of information : ü published studies,

program.

ü government documents, ü annual reports of the health sector.

# **Benchmarking:**

- ✓ Local and international benchmarks considered.
- ✓ Local benchmarking visits conducted to determine the feasibility and to understand the implications of changes recommended by the 2019 National Assessment of the HEP.
- ✓ Benchmarking visits were made to HPs and PHCUs across all nine regions. ✓ International benchmarks identified to learn from the experience of other countries.
  - Bangladesh, India, Thailand, Sri-Lanka, and Rwanda Through review of documents

# **Projections:**

- ✓ understand future changes and needs, the social, demographic, economic, and political situations; using past trends when available.
- ✓ used to estimate changes in population size and composition, disease
- epidemiology, and resource availability. ✓ helpful to analyze needs and determine the feasibility of alternative scenarios.

# **Consultative Workshops:**

- ✓ The HEP roadmap Steering Committee and Technical Working Group were the primary consultative forums.
- ✓ All technical aspects of the roadmap were developed through intensive consultation among Technical Working Group members. ✓ Evidence synthesis and strategy
- development forums organized with the involvement of relevant professionals. These forums used to develop and review different sections of the roadmap.
- ✓ The Steering Committee provided high level guidance to the work of the Technical Working Group.

# **Roadmap Costing:**

OneHealth tool - aligned with HSTP Il costing.

✓ The roadmap was costed using

- ✓ Cost estimates for the first five years and indicative costing for the remaining 10 years.
- comparing the roadmap cost with projected fiscal space

✓ Financing gap estimated by

